```
SCOTT N. SCHOOLS, SC SBN 9990
    United States Attorney
   JOANN M. SWANSON, CSBN 88143
   Assistant United States Attorney
   Chief, Civil Division
    MELANIE L. PROCTOR, CSBN 228971
 4
    Assistant United States Attorney
 5
       450 Golden Gate Avenue, Box 36055
       San Francisco, California 94102
       Telephone: (415) 436-6730
 6
       FAX: (415) 436-6927
 7
    Attorneys for Defendants
 8
                               UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
                             NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
10
                                   SAN FRANCISCO DIVISION
11
    NATHANIEL SEAN BOWDEN,
   JUNELLE HARRIS,
                                                    No. C 07-5269 MEJ
12
13
                       Plaintiffs.
14
                                                    ANSWER
                 v.
  ROBIN L. BARRETT, Field Office Director,
    USCIS San Francisco District Office;
16 EMILIO T. GONZALEZ, Director, U.S.
    Citizenship and Immigration Services;
   MICHAEL CHERTOFF, Secretary,
   Department of Homeland Security;
   ROBERT S. MUELLER, Director,
18
    Federal Bureau of Investigations;
19
   MICHAEL B. MUKASEY,
    U.S. Attorney General,
20
                       Defendants.
21
       The Defendants hereby submit their answer to Plaintiffs' Complaint for a Writ of Mandamus and
22
    Declaratory and Injunctive Relief.
                                 PRELIMINARY STATEMENT
24
25
       1. Defendants admit the allegations in Paragraph One; however, Defendants deny that the I-130
    is still pending. The I-130 was granted on December 20, 2006.
27
                                        JURISDICTION
28
       2. Paragraph Two consists of Plaintiffs' allegation regarding jurisdiction, to which no responsive
    ANSWER
                                                1
    C07-5269 MEJ
```

3

3

4 n

5

6

7

8

9

11

12

13

14

15

16

1718

19

20

21

23

22

23

24

24

26

27

_,

28

VENUE

3. Paragraph Three consists of Plaintiffs' allegations regarding venue, to which no responsive pleading is required.

INTRADISTRICT ASSIGNMENT

4. Paragraph Four consists of Plaintiffs' allegations regarding intradistrict assignment, to which no responsive pleading is required.

STANDING

5. Paragraph Five consists of Plaintiffs' characterization of this action for which no answer is necessary; however, to the extent a response is deemed to be required, the Defendants deny the allegations therein.

PLAINTIFFS

6. Defendants deny the allegations in Paragraph Six.

DEFENDANTS

- 7. Defendants admit the allegations in Paragraph Seven.
- 8. Defendants admit the allegations in Paragraph Eight.
- 9. Defendants admit the allegations in Paragraph Nine.
- 10. Defendants admit the allegations in Paragraph Ten.
- 11. Defendants admit the allegations in Paragraph Eleven.
- 12. Defendants deny the allegations in Paragraph Twelve.
- 13. Defendants admit the allegations in Paragraph Thirteen.

FACTS

- 14. Defendants admit the allegations in Paragraph Fourteen.
- 15. Defendants admit the allegations in Paragraph Fifteen.
- 16. Defendants admit the allegations in Paragraph Sixteen.
- 17. Defendants admit the allegations in Paragraph Seventeen.
- 18. Defendants deny the allegations in Paragraph Eighteen.

ANSWER C07-5269 MEJ

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

24

25

26

27

28

- 19. Defendants deny the allegations in Paragraph Nineteen.
- 20. Defendants admit the allegations in Paragraph Twenty.
- 21. Defendants admit the first three sentences in Paragraph Twenty-One. Defendants deny that the I-130 petition is still pending.
 - 22. Defendants admit the allegations in Paragraph Twenty-Two.
 - 23. Defendants admit the allegations in Paragraph Twenty-Three.
 - 24. Defendants admit the allegations in Paragraph Twenty-Four.
 - 25. Defendants deny the allegations in Paragraph Twenty-Five.
- 26. Defendants admit the first sentence of Paragraph Twenty-Six; however, Defendants are without sufficient information to admit or deny whether a response was sent.
 - 27. Defendants deny the allegations in Paragraph Twenty-Seven.
- 28. Paragraph Twenty-Eight consists of Plaintiffs' characterization of this action for which no answer is necessary; however, to the extent a response is deemed to be required, the Defendants deny the allegations therein.
- 29. Paragraph Twenty-Nine consists of Plaintiffs' characterization of this action for which no answer is necessary; however, to the extent a response is deemed to be required, the Defendants deny the allegations therein.
 - 30. Defendants deny the allegations in Paragraph Thirty.
- 31. Defendants are without sufficient information to admit or deny the allegations in Paragraph Thirty-One, and on that basis, deny them.

FIRST CAUSE OF ACTION

- 32. Defendants re-allege and incorporate by reference the answers to the allegations set forth in Paragraphs One through Thirty, inclusive, as though fully set forth herein.
- 33. Paragraph Thirty-Three consists of Plaintiffs' characterization of this action for which no answer is necessary; however, to the extent a response is deemed to be required, the Defendants deny the allegations therein.

SECOND CAUSE OF ACTION

34. Defendants re-allege and incorporate by reference the answers to the allegations set forth in

ANSWER C07-5269 MEJ Paragraphs One through Thirty-Two, inclusive, as though fully set forth herein.

- 35. Paragraph Thirty-Five consists of Plaintiffs' statement of law for which no answer is necessary; however, to the extent a response is deemed to be required, the Defendants deny the allegations therein.
- 36. Paragraph Thirty-Six consists of Plaintiffs' characterization of this action for which no answer is necessary; however, to the extent a response is deemed to be required, the Defendants deny the allegations therein.
- 37. Paragraph Thirty-Seven consists of Plaintiffs' characterization of this action for which no answer is necessary; however, to the extent a response is deemed to be required, the Defendants deny the allegations therein.

PRAYER FOR RELIEF

The remaining allegations consists of Plaintiffs' prayer for relief, to which no admission or denial is required; to the extent a responsive pleading is deemed to be required, Defendants deny these paragraphs.

AFFIRMATIVE AND/OR OTHER DEFENSES

All allegations not here before specifically admitted, denied, or modified are hereby denied. For further and separate answer, Defendants allege as follows:

FIRST DEFENSE

The Court lacks jurisdiction over the subject matter of this action

SECOND DEFENSE

The Complaint fails to state a claim against the Defendants upon which relief can be granted.

THIRD DEFENSE

No acts or omissions by the United States or its employees were the proximate cause of any injury or damages to the Plaintiffs.

FOURTH DEFENSE

At all times alleged in the complaint, Defendants were acting with good faith, with justification, and pursuant to authority.

27 ///

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

24

25

26

28 ///

ANSWER C07-5269 MEJ

FIFTH DEFENSE

The Defendants are processing the applications referred to in the Complaint to the extent possible at this time. Accordingly, no relief as prayed for is warranted.

WHEREFORE, Defendants pray for relief as follows:

That judgment be entered for Defendants and against Plaintiffs, dismissing Plaintiffs' Complaint with prejudice; that Plaintiffs take nothing; and that the Court grant such further relief as it deems just and proper under the circumstances.

Dated: December 17, 2007 Respectfully submitted,

SCOTT N. SCHOOLS United States Attorney

MELANIE L. PROCTOR
Assistant United States Attorney
Attorneys for Defendants

ANSWER

C07-5269 MEJ